Veolia Solutions Enable Customers to Achieve Sustainability Goals

> Moderator: Katie Peach – Veolia WTS Speaker 1: Lianna van der Zalm – Veolia WTS Speaker 2: Alex Waite – City of Santa Monica







- 1. City of Santa Monica Overview Indirect Potable Reuse Project
- 2. GHG Quantification Methodology
- 3. City of Santa Monica Project Quantification
- 4. Food and Beverage Water Reuse Project Quantification
- 5. Anaerobic Digestion Facility Project Quantification
- 6. Question Period

### **Sustainability Goals**

- Interrelated Impacts:
  - Emission reduction
  - Water conservation
  - Waste reduction
- All levels of government
  + private sector work

towards goals





# City of Santa Monica Sustainable Water Infrastructure Project

### **City of Santa Monica – Water Resources Division**







**93,000+** residents **2,700+** commercial customers Drinking water and fire protection

groundwater (local) surface water (MWD)



Sewer collection and recycled water

#### 9 million gallons

of high-quality drinking water daily

#### 14 million gallons

of wastewater captured and delivered for treatment each day **77,000 gallons** per day of recycled water

# 4 water storage reservoirs

totaling 40 million gallons



### **Goals of the City's Sustainable Water Master Plan**

- Diverse, sustainable, & drought resilient water supply to support a sustainable community
- Reduction of energy footprint to support carbon reduction goals for the City
- Long term cost benefits for rate payers



#### **Integrated Approach to Maximize Local Water Resources** Imported **Potable Water** To City of Wastewater Water from Los Angeles MWD Hyperion Arcadia WTP Charnock Recycled Well Field Water **SMURRF**

**Olympic Well Field** 



### Sustainable Water Infrastructure Project (SWIP)



### SWIP's Multiple Benefits

- Improves beach water quality
- Provides EWMP/MS4 compliance
- Drought resilient water supply
- Diversifies City's water supply portfolio
- Increases recycled water production
- Augments local groundwater supply
- Creates ~1,600 AFY of local water supply for the City

### **SWIP Advanced Water Treatment Facility (AWTF)**



Process	Virus	Cryptosporidium	Giardia
MBR	1.0	2.5	2.5
Cartridge Filters	-	2.0	2.5
RO	1.5	1.5	1.5
UV-AOP	6.0	6.0	6.0
Chlorine	5.0	-	-
Total	13.5	12.0	12.5
Required for Title 22 GRRP	12.0	10.0	10.0





### Santa Monica Urban Runoff Recycling Facility (SMURRF)

UF







- Originally constructed in 2000 as stormwater BMP
- Provides pollution control for MS4 and EWMP compliance
- Produces up to 500 AFY of Title 22 diluent water pending
  WDR/WRR permit amendment for GRRP

#### First stormwater direct injection project in CA!





### First underground AWTF in CA!

-----

00













### **Greenhouse Gas Assessments**

#### **Climate Change: Local Impacts**



#### **Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets**

- Regulatory/compliance + voluntary action on climate change
- Municipalities can set procurement targets to match policy goals
- GHG quantification lets us
  measure and track progress

#### SANTA MONICA PROJECTED CARBON EMISSIONS

(metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent or mtCO2e)



### Quantification Methodology Step-by-Step

**Base Case:** status quo, current practice **Project Case:** new project, process change







# Project 1 – Santa Monica Project Quantification

# Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Base Case

- Relies on well water and imported freshwater for potable water
- Importing water emits ~0.8 TCO<sub>2</sub>eq per million litres, city uses ~10 billion litres per year



### Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Project Case



#### Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Imported Water Emission Factor

- Emissions from imported water are tied to electricity primarily (pumping, treatment)
- Emission factors are projected to decrease over time (state energy policy - decarbonize)

	2017		
Scope	GHG Emissions (MT of CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Percent of Total Emissions	
Scope 1	8,875	4%	
Stationary Combustion	1,918	1%	
Fugitive Emissions	71	<1%	
Mobile Combustion	6,886	3%	
Scope 2	194,480	86%	
Electricity Consumption	192,511	85%	
T&D Losses	1,969	1%	
Scope 3	10,598	5%	
Water and Wastewater	184	<1%	
Waste Generation	3,157	1%	
Employee Commute	7,257	3%	
Scope 3 Construction	12,081	5%	
Construction Emissions	12,081	5%	
Total Emissions	226,036	100%	

#### Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Project Case



# Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Comparison

	tCO2eq/yr		
	Project Case (no		
	Electricity Offsets)	Base Case	
Electricity	1984	0	
Fuel	5	0	
Imported Water	0	793	
Chemical Use	775	0	
Totals	2765	793	



- Main cause of emissions is from the electricity usage
- Residual waste from the AWTF and SMURRF are sent to wastewater treatment, would go there in the base case also
- Chemical consumption is not regularly tracked or included in GHG assessments for existing facilities

# Project 1 – Santa Monica: Indirect Potable Reuse for Aquifer Recharge Comparison



- Electricity is all renewable for the AWTF and SMURRF process
- Southern California Edison: Clean Power Alliance



# Project 2 – Food & Beverage Water Reuse System

#### Project 2 – Food & Beverage Water Reuse System Base Case (No Reuse)

- Pre-existing F&B plant with plans for expansion
- Basis of 2.2 million gallons per day (MGD) potable water use
- Produces low strength, low solids wastewater
  - Wastewater hauled to lagoons on property
  - Capacity limited by available space



Scope boundary

#### Project 2 – Food & Beverage Water Reuse System Project Case (Reuse)

- MBR/RO treatment
- 1.7 MGD water reuse
  - Reduces potable
    water use
- Decreases WW to land application
  - Reduces Haulage
- Allows for plant expansion



### Project 2 – Food & Beverage Water Reuse System Project Comparison

Emissions from MBR/RO
 process offset by haulage

reduction

- Haulage emissions are key
  - Reuse reduces haulage

by ~6,500 tonnes/day &

~7,000 TCO2eq/yr

• >70% Renewable energy grid





# **Project 3 – Anaerobic Digestion Facility**

### Project 3 – Anaerobic Digestion Facility Base Case - 60,000 tonnes/year to landfill

- 60,000 tonnes/year organics mixed into garbage collection
- Sent to landfill
- Emits large amounts of uncaptured methane
- <u>25x higher</u> global warming potential (GWP) than CO2



### Project 3 – Anaerobic Digestion Facility Project Case - 60,000 Tonnes/year to AD facility

- 60,000 tonnes/year SSO sent to AD facility
- Captures, processes, and repurposes methane emissions as RNG
- Treatment requires additional energy & chemicals
- However, <u>avoids methane</u> <u>emissions</u>



### Project 3 – Anaerobic Digestion Facility Project Comparison

- Net emissions impact
  - -66,987 TCO<sub>2</sub>eq/yr
- Methane venting emissions need to be avoided!
- >95% renewable electricity grid for the region
  - Lowers emissions for electricity use



### Key Takeaways

- As climate change is more prevalent in everyday life, need for change is a must
- All levels of both private and public sector groups need to establish sustainability goals
- Focus on apparent local hazards (i.e. shortage of water) and direct changes
- GHG emission calculations can help determine key issues and potential improvements
- By using multiple factors in facility design/changes, can establish a well-balanced project



# **Questions**?

Lianna van der Zalm: Lianna.vanderZalm@veolia.com

Alex Waite: Alex.Waite@santamonica.gov

